

Can your fraud-decisioning AI show its work?

What a defensible system must produce and the questions that expose a black box

The AI Act's deadline moved.

The standard a bank has to meet did not.

The AI Act's high-risk deadline slipped to 2 December 2027, and fraud detection sits outside the high-risk regime altogether (Recital 58). No deadline is forcing this.

But a disputed payment, an ombudsman, your own second line, and the incoming PSD3/PSR liability all ask the same thing: show me why. A score and a confidence value still doesn't tell you what actually happened.

Make it a buying criterion.

Evidence-producing decisioning earns its place on defensibility, and it did so before the Act existed. Treat it as a procurement standard and adopt it now, while no deadline is distorting the choice; explainability bought today is an architecture decision; demanded in 2027, it is a migration.

What Nyx does

1. Actively hunts for fraud. It does not wait for an alert to fire.
2. Investigates every case forensically, not a sample.
3. Builds deep cases in under 5 minutes, rather than hours of manual review.
4. Learns from every case, and can propose a new rule to implement.
5. Attaches the evidence to each case: logged, timestamped, replayable, exportable.
6. The decision stays with your analyst.

Put it in your evaluation

The evidence to ask for:



A per-decision record.

Inputs, reasoning, reviewer, time; exportable.



Logs kept six months or more.

(Art. 26(6))



Human authority, documented.

(Art. 26(2))



Replayability.

Any past decision as it was made.



Limitations and failure modes, in writing.

(Art. 26(2))



Provider clarity if you retrain on your data.

(Art. 25(1)(b))

Four questions for any vendor



Show me the decision record for one specific alert: inputs, reasoning, reviewer, time, exportable.



Which provision do you rely on for your AI-Act classification? Show the intended-purpose documentation.



Give me your known limitations and failure modes, in writing.



If we retrain on our own book, who becomes the "provider"?